

Game Title Search



ANSWERS

BOARDS

CONTRIBUTE

GAMES

USERS

WHAT'S NEW

Wii » Action » Platformer » 3D

Super Mario Galaxy

Home

FAQs

Cheats

Saves

Reviews

Images

Videos

Answers

Board

Religious Roots?

LAWJ (20) ▼ Topic Archived

Page 1 of 2 Last »

Boards » Super Mario Galaxy » Religious Roots?

eaglesfan36 ▼ 8 years ago	#1
If what I am hearing is true, Rosalina practiced the Islam religion before going into outer space. Any comments?	
TruTruSky ▼ 8 years ago	#2
L. Is. Real. 2401. --- (_/) Mewtwo will be the update for January 24, 2008. (O.o)Started January 13, 2008.	
thegreenL ▼ 8 years ago	#3
I thought it was 2041 --- My other sig was kinda dumb so I need to think of a new one.	
DarkThespian ▼ 8 years ago	#4

Most religion is a twisted form of sun worship...

The heat and light from the sun are caused by the nuclear reaction between a failed foreign policy, a failed domestic policy, and a failed presidency. -TMBG

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#5

Oh yeah?

Are you sure you want to make that argument to a qualified philosopher of religion?

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

gweegwee ▾ 8 years ago

#6

[This message was deleted at the request of a moderator or administrator]

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#7

DWEEB.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

GamerGrant ▾ 8 years ago

#8

Are you sure you want to make that argument to a qualified philosopher of religion?

...Yes?

Gamertag: xxX Grant Xxx PSN: xX Grant Xx

"Religion is regarded by the common people as true, by the wise as false, and by the rulers as useful."

Panzerscrap ▾ 8 years ago

#9

I can't imagine what would make someone a "qualified philosopher of religion." All religions are centered around tenets that cannot be proven true. A philosopher is more than just a person who "comes up with ideas" (or apologetics as the case may be), it is a person that uses rational proofs to support an given concept. I could say that Apollo is real, and no matter someone's "qualification" for religious philosophy, you cannot prove that he is any less "real" than the Abrahamic God.

Although "Mama"'s comments in the end do seem to indicate that she is of some kind of divine status. Finally, the Mushroom Kingdom religion revealed!

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#10

Well, one aspect of a "qualified" philosopher of religion is that he is a jack of his trade, and can properly assess such a claim as "Most religions are a twisted form of sun worship."

As for God, I could offer arguments in support of God that make his existence more plausibly true than the alternative. Further, I could wax theological and proceed to argue that, if God exists, he is most plausibly construed as the God of Abraham than any other conception of God. There is no reason to believe that religions don't make scrutable claims to truth; were that the case, there wouldn't exist so much debate in the literature.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

CaptainDumass ▾ 8 years ago

#11

"If what I am hearing is true, Rosalina practiced the Islam religion before going into outer space. Any comments?"

what you talkin bout willis?!?

seriously i have no idea what your talking about. ???Islam???

i like pi

Panzerscrap ▾ 8 years ago

#12

Further, I could wax theological and proceed to argue that, if God exists, he is most plausibly construed as the God of Abraham than any other conception of God.

Considering the Abrahamic tradition is ENTIRELY based on arbitrarily accepting one particular book to be true, there is no more evidence for it than there is for the existence of Ra. Not that I am criticising its adherants; believe in Wotan for all I care.

There is no reason to believe that religions don't make scrutable claims to truth; were that the case, there wouldn't exist so much debate in the literature.

That debate exists on a topic does not lend that topic credibility.... I can debate all day on whether the Legend of Zelda series is delicately crafted to be one complete storyline or is simply retellings of the same thing. The fact that I can start such a debate does not give this debate merit.

If what I am hearing is true,

Where did you hear this, by the way, OP?

1marioandluigi1 ▾ 8 years ago

#13

God is not real...

i will change my mind if you present one piece of evidence...

(if you use the bible...prove that it is real)

DarkThespian ▾ 8 years ago

#14

qualified philosopher of religion

Philosophize all you want; all that means is that you can think deep thoughts...especially easy to do concerning religious topics, which are impossible to prove or disprove to begin with. Frankly, as long as you claim to be the disciple of someone who supports Intelligent Design, I'm going to have to guess you're not half of what you claim to be. To date, supporters of intelligent design have yet to submit a peer-reviewed article supporting their views; instead, they publish this crap without the inconvenience of it having to be accurate. If you're used to arguing that way, then nothing anyone can say will convince you of anything, but don't expect to get others to join your side.

But enough of this off topic shenanigans: this is about SM:G and Islam; to the OP, what in particular are the ties between this game and Islam?

The heat and light from the sun are caused by the nuclear reaction between a failed foreign policy, a failed domestic policy, and a failed presidency. -TMBG

DarkThespian ▾ 8 years ago

#15

I apologize, let me clear up one of those erroneous statements--Intelligent design hasn't submitted to any peer-reviewed journals, and those that have reviewed it never exactly supported it

The heat and light from the sun are caused by the nuclear reaction between a failed foreign policy, a failed domestic policy, and a failed presidency. -TMBG

seqntenialbeast ▾ 8 years ago

#16

Failed

Laharl_PWNS ▾ 8 years ago

#17

If you people don't believe in God, and that Jesus is God's son, you will burn in hell for all eternity. not kidding.

Look at my quote.

FreezyToad ▾ 8 years ago

#18

I believe in God, but jesus isn't the son of God. Jesus is a prophet though.

http://z15.invisionfree.com/Tortimer_Town/index.php Come join Tortimer Town!

DrScuttles ▾ 8 years ago

#19

If you people don't believe in God, and that Jesus is God's son, you will burn in hell for all eternity. not kidding.

Oh, bring it ON! I guess smoking heroin doesn't bode well for my eternal soul either, does it?

"A... shoe store."

Agent_Koopa ▾ 8 years ago

#20

God is not real...

i will change my mind if you present one piece of evidence...

(if you use the bible...prove that it is real)

Not to take sides, but using lots of ellipses does not make you cool.

"Watch out for muscular chefs." - Riosan

Surfermario ▾ 8 years ago

#21

1marioandluigi1 In the bible God states that if a country blesses Israel then they would be blessed and if a country cursed Israel then they would be cursed. Two Examples would be After the Holocaust during WWII Germany was split by the Berlin Wall and East Germany was controled by the Russians in a concentration camp style and if that little fact doesn't convince you about how real God is then consider the fact of how God Protected the Jews and the Arabs declared War on them 4 times When they became a nation again. Also its a fact that the Bible also predicted that the Jews would become a nation again.

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#22

That debate exists on a topic does not lend that topic credibility.... I can debate all day on whether the Legend of Zelda series is delicately crafted to be one complete storyline or is simply retellings of the same thing. The fact that I can start such a debate does not give this debate merit.

". . . in the literature," Panzerscrap.

I apologize, let me clear up one of those erroneous statements--Intelligent design hasn't submitted to any peer-reviewed journals,

. . . scientific journals, Spian. In any case, I'm detached from the vapid debate over ID, and will readily grant its pseudo-scientific nature. Your knowledge of dear Dr. Craig's academic history is deplorably artificial if you believe his primary concern to have been ID.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

Zorc_N_Pals ▾ 8 years ago

#23

A religious debate on GameFAQs!? **** yeah! I'll get the popcorn!

*Sports and most driving games SUCK ASS. If I wanted to spend \$50 on sports every ****ing year, I'd buy a ticket to a game. ~peteyboo*

AlexPenev ▾ 8 years ago

#24

>As for God, I could offer arguments in support of God that make his existence more plausibly true than the

alternative.

Would this count as doing "something that nobody has ever succeeded in doing before"?

>I could argue that, if God exists, he is most plausibly construed as the God of Abraham than any other conception of God.

Hyperbole, as it would require you to know every conception of God, which you cannot unless yourself are eternal. (That in itself would be one conception of God. ;)

>If you people don't believe in God, and that Jesus is God's son, you will burn in hell for all eternity.

Which, to people who don't already believe in God to begin with, is roughly the equivalent of saying "if you don't believe in Peter Pan, Captain Hook will feed you to the crocodile for all eternity". Not as convincing as it's supposed to sound.

chown -R me ~you/base*

GamerGrant ▾ 8 years ago

#25

If you people don't believe in God, and that Jesus is God's son, you will burn in hell for all eternity. not kidding.

No way! Santa Claus said he would not allow it to happen. He is going to team up with the Easter Bunny and the Tooth Fairy to put an end to this injustice!

Gamertag: xxX Grant Xxx PSN: xX Grant Xx

"Religion is regarded by the common people as true, by the wise as false, and by the rulers as useful."

Spektre41 ▾ 8 years ago

#26

The best place to have a religious debate is at a message board for a plumber jumping around in space.
YESSIREE BOB!

Maze1125 ▾ 8 years ago

#27

Yep, SMG is set in space, therefore it supports the Big Bang Theory, therefore it is anti-Christian.
Obviously.

BlackwaterPark1 ▾ 8 years ago

#28

To me the whole idea of a God is pure lunacy. Sure religion teaches some good morals, but apart from that it's for people who are scared of dieing.

TP - Worst Zelda ever

Now playing - SMG, Mass Effect, Zelda II,

disco_inferno ▾ 8 years ago

#29

I'm Lite Buddhist!

Playing for fun doesn't make you a loser, it makes you the winner no matter what.

Vice President of the PAL Gamers Organisation

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#30

Would this count as doing "something that nobody has ever succeeded in doing before"?

The opposite. I would argue that there have been many plausible arguments offered for the existence of God.

*Hyperbole, as it would require you to know every conception of God, which you cannot unless yourself are eternal.
(That in itself would be one conception of God. ;)*

Scatological rebuttal, for once theism is established, the number of serious contenders is reduced to three.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

BPC_Mario ▾ 8 years ago

#31

If you people don't believe in God, and that Jesus is God's son, you will burn in hell for all eternity. not kidding.

No, you got you facts wrong, It should say my name where it says "Jesus".

This typo has haunted me for over 2000 years, imagine, being the messiah, yet people always getting your name wrong.

I am the Messiah!

People who have accepted me as the Messiah: 5

LimeGreenPikkle ▾ 8 years ago

#32

Haha, i have to admit, this is a reall funny place to debate religion, and even funnier still when people think they are being convincing throwing around the "you'll go to hell."

Did you know, that a christian claiming that someone will go to hell if they don't believe in the christian's god is a form of out-right bigotry towards other religions?

If there was a one god, i'm sure he'd be truly ashamed of all the people perpetrating all this hate in his/her name.

And it is an absolute truth that the Abrahamic god has no more or less proof than Zeus did, or Ra, or has anyone seen that Zeitgeist video? Everyone should search for "Zeitgeist part 1" and it will explain it all.

The current god and christ story is a reincarnation (if not a direct plagiarism) of the Egyptian Ra and Anubis story. It just happens to be the newest "most popular" god-messiah story, so that's why it's got such a strangle-hold on freedom of intelligence.

If Buddhism happened to be the religion that prevailed during those dark times, if Buddhism were the religion that spoke of peace, yet it's followers committed such heinous actes of hatred, genocide and inhumanity, then Buddhism would be the banner the fundamental religionists would be bashing in our faces, rather than christianity.

It's all preference, opinion, and historical luck that decides which god we are badgered to subscribe to within our own cultures.

People are being murdered, and bombed, and tortured, and segregated, and discriminated against BECAUSE OF RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE!!

Because anyone who claims they *know 100%* that their god is real and the others are fake, or even anyone who claims that they *know 100%* that there is/are no god/s - both groups here - are the fools. Because no one knows, because it is currently impossible to know!

All it is is people saying, "Join my club, it's real," "No, join MY club, it's the real one!" "No, join THIS club, it offers paradise after death!" And so on...

People are dying because of an OPINION about the origins of the universe. The key to this answer is to NEVER claim that something is 100% correct when any side can poke hundreds of holes in the theory.

It only leads to arrogance, which leads to discrimination, which leads to outright bigotry, which leads to hatred, which leads to causing harm, which leads to retaliating to that harm, which causes wars and death and perpetual hatred that will never cease because one side's ALWAYS got to get the other side back!

Throw it all away, but if you do choose to subscribe to a certain religion, don't take it so seriously that you are breaking the peaceful rules that your religion supposedly clings to!

This is the problem. Everyone thinks they are right and will not bend to any reason.

This is where the governments want us. Fighting and policing each other, so that they can do whatever they want.

My BBW Art, and Video Game Art

<http://limegreensquid.deviantart.com/>

Maze1125 ▾ 8 years ago

#33

Scatological rebuttal, for once theism is established, the number of serious contenders is reduced to three.

That depends on how you define 'theism'.

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#34

And it is an absolute truth that the Abrahamic god has no more or less proof than Zeus did, or Ra, or has anyone seen that Zeitgeist video? Everyone should search for "Zeitgeist part 1" and it will explain it all.

The current god and christ story is a reincarnation (if not a direct plagiarism) of the Egyptian Ra and Anubis story. It just happens to be the newest "most popular" god-messiah story, so that's why it's got such a strangle-hold on freedom of intelligence.

Zeitgeist? Sorry to hear you bought the latest incarnation of sensationalist drivel.

<http://benwitherington.blogspot.com/2007/12/zeitgeist-of-zeitgeist-movie.html>

Can anyone say "massacre"?

That depends on how you define 'theism'.

Try belief in the existence of a personal God or gods.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

Maze1125 ▾ 8 years ago

#35

Try belief in the existence of a personal God or gods.

Which, funnily enough, depends on how you define 'god'.
 (And 'god', in that sense, shouldn't have a capital letter.)

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#36

Oh, make no mistake: I can get pedantic if need be, as there are studies that analyze and define God to involute levels. For simplicity's sake, I argue for the traditional concept of God, i.e. an omnipotent, omniscient creator of the universe.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

GenericGamer66 ▾ 8 years ago

#37

am I going to hell because Im a Jew?

stop looking at me

Panzerscrap ▾ 8 years ago

#38

The "God theory" has not ever been suitably analyzed. I have never seen a discussion that did not boil down to the concept of faith, which I would define as a belief without validation. If you decide your beliefs do not require validation, then you would be at a loss to explain why you do not believe in the Easter Bunny (unless you do believe, of course.) More importantly, validation of knowledge is recursive: unless your validation can itself be analyzed, it still drops back down to a faith issue.

For example, to suggest that the infamous "ex contingentia" makes any sense, you are saying that though *everything* has a cause, you are positing "but God doesn't." Well, whats the cause of God, I ask? Nothing, you reply. I refute, but you just said that everything has a cause. The only reason you assume that God doesn't: faith.

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#39

I'm a Jew.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#40

For example, to suggest that the infamous "ex contingentia" makes any sense, you are saying that though everything has a cause, you are positing "but God doesn't." Well, whats the cause of God, I ask? Nothing, you reply. I refute, but you just said that everything has a cause. The only reason you assume that God doesn't: faith.

That is a crude caricature of the argument from contingency. In reality, the argument makes the Thomistic distinction between being and essence, between actuality and potentiality, and argues that God is the ground of all being, the main cog in the cosmic machine. The universe has the potential to exist, but it is only actual because God exists. This isn't so much an argument in the conventional sense as it is a theological framework, a picture of how God and the world may relate.

Yours is more akin to a kalam argument. But so construed, it's still a straw-man: the premise for that argument isn't "Everything has a cause," but "Everything that begins to exist has a cause," thereby vitiating your back-up response.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

Panzerscrap ▾ 8 years ago

#41

Well, forgive my crudeness, I was merely using a basal exemplification of a "typical" theistic argument in order to present the most pressing point: faith precludes validation. What is the rationale for faith? There simply isn't any. A faithful believer cannot be falsified, since his/her conceptions are not even in the same "magisterium" as reason or logic.

Another illustration: I believe that orbit is when a body falling into another body's gravity attains a tangential path to the former body's curvature. Why do I believe this? My view of the stars indicates movement, mathematics and physics that I have studied seem to support the idea, the people who developed these said math and physics have been reviewed countless times by countless curious parties to nearly unanimous satisfaction. Following that, actual application of these principles were tapped upon, successfully, for various space missions.

Whereas, for a given religious belief, you must stop this chain of validation quickly. For example, a divine entity contacted a series of 2nd millennium BC men in the Middle East, and gave upon them wisdom and guidance. How would I know this to be true? The Tanakh relates this... but the only reason I can trust the Tanakh, is if I have faith. Otherwise, it simply would join the ongoing flood on religious texts that speak of extraordinarily unprecedented events with no logical or scientific basis.

FatalFragger ▾ 8 years ago

#42

why would she be a muslim?

Pearl: 0645 3649 3041 name: Andrew xbl: FatalFragger

CaptainDumass ▾ 8 years ago

#43

^i asked the same question on the beginning of the 2nd page and i havent got crap from them. and also its very funny watching these losers trying to debate theology, i would love to have a boneified debate with an educated individual about religion!

yes i know i cant spell at all!

jgator ▾ 8 years ago

#44

If God made everything then who made God? My father asked a Minister this question a long time ago and he replied, "God made himself."

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#45

Well, forgive my crudeness, I was merely using a basal exemplification of a "typical" theistic argument in order to present the most pressing point: faith precludes validation. What is the rationale for faith? There simply isn't any. A faithful believer cannot be falsified, since his/her conceptions are not even in the same "magisterium" as reason or

logic.

Firstly, I reject your definition of "faith." Until the post-modern era, faith in Christianity has always been belief based on reason, or earned trust. Secondly, *scientifically*, God can be neither proven nor disproven, verified or falsified. God (like many other rationally-held beliefs) lies outside the realm of science, but not reason or logic. Thirdly, belief in uninvestigable supernatural historical occurrences is hinged on prior beliefs; on a theistic worldview, such events may well have happened, and the theist is rational in accepting them; clearly, not so on a nontheistic worldview. The question is, what rationale does someone have in accepting (a particular form of) theism?

I lack the patience to build a case for theism from the ground up, but I'll still offer food for thought. Take the argument you brought up: if the universe began to exist, what brought it into being?

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

Ryman2 ▾ 8 years ago

#46

If God made everything then who made God? My father asked a Minister this question a long time ago and he replied, "God made himself."

That's a rather dense minister then! Since it is commonly accepted that God is eternal nothing would have "made" God since He has existed for all time.

[ER]"I think every good Christian ought to kick Falwell right in the ass."--Barry Goldwater

CS_Goodman_ ▾ 8 years ago

#47

^ Good to hear someone with some sense; there was a reason I wasn't replying to that post.

[Enemy of Philosophical Naturalism & Disciple of William Lane Craig, Ph.D Ph.D.]

WebEffect ▾ 8 years ago

#48

Am I the only one who thought this topic was about "Religious Boots"?

Understanding mankind? I have yet to understand *one* woman!!

~My girlfriend approves this message~

Panzerscrap ▾ 8 years ago

#49

Until the post-modern era, faith in Christianity has always been belief based on reason, or earned trust.

Not true. Faith that my wife isn't currently out with another man is a belief based on earned trust. I've known her for 10 years, and every action she has taken has indicated that she would not do that. I'm not saying she cannot betray this trust, I am saying that she has earned some level of what you may consider "faith." No supernatural communication has ever occurred, I could posit, so earned trust in the relationship with a nonexistent being is inane. You could refute and say that supernatural communication *has* occurred, but you will have to accept that mere faith in the words of long deceased ignorant ancient men is your driving impetus in this belief.

Maze1125 ▾ 8 years ago

#50

if the universe began to exist, what brought it into being?

"Who stole the cookies from the cookie jar?
I don't know, so it must be God."

[Boards](#) » [Super Mario Galaxy](#) » [Religious Roots?](#)

LAWJ (20) ▾ **Topic Archived**

Page 1 of 2 [Last](#) »

Around the Web

[This Impressive Dishonored Video Is Stylishly Violent](#)

[Amiibo Figures Reach New Shipment Milestone, Best-Selling Toys Revealed](#)

[If Square Enix Designed Batman as a Spartan Warrior, He Might Look Like This](#)

[Ultra Street Fighter IV Halloween Costume Packs Revealed](#)

[Explosive Cryptocurrency Replacing the US Dollar?](#)
[Wall Street Daily Sponsored](#)

[Free Live, Interactive Webinar](#)
[Rich Dad Webinars Sponsored](#)

[Learn more](#)

Powered by [for you](#)

More topics from this board...			
Worst Purple Coin Mission?	GuardianKirby64	24	11/16 12:41AM
Which Galaxy game was better in your opinion?	GuardianKirby64	23	11/15 6:33PM
Worst Comets(Spoilers)	awesomesause	5	11/15 8:05AM
The Official Super Mario Galaxy Guide	GameDesignerSage	288	7/27 8:47AM

[facebook.com/GFAQs](#) [twitter.com/GameFAQs](#) [Help / Contact Us](#) [Change Colors](#) ▲

[gamespot.com](#) [giantbomb.com](#) [metacritic.com](#) [gamerankings.com](#)

© 2015 CBS Interactive Inc. All rights reserved. [Sitemap](#) [Advertise](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Ad Choice](#) [Terms of Use](#)